Electromagnetic fields (EMF) and the ocean energy sector

Andrew B. Gill PhD FRSB



Principal Scientist



PANGALIA Environmental Founder/Owner



What we know about EMF



What we know about EMF – biotic?



http://www.asknature.org/images/



http://left-out.net/photo/content/2015/5/blue-whale

E-M sensitive animals have evolved (and continue) to live in an EMF environment (both AC and DC)



Scale of animal interaction with E-M fields



Source: Atlantic Salmon Federation http://www.asf.ca/about_salmon.php



Fine scale

- Electroreception
- Prey, mates
- Orientation
- Sensory ability in several species groups

Anthropogenic EMF sources



https://i.ytimg.com/vi/XMxkRh7sx84/0.jpg

Anthropogenic Electromagnetic field (EMF) - FEM predicted emissions from A.C. subsea cables



X-section AC cable (internal) – magnetic field

Gill et al (2012a)



EMF dissipation – A.C. & D.C. models



Defining the EMF environment – AC and DC for biological relevance

Gill et al (2012b)



Environmental legislation – EMF specific

Descriptor of Good Environmental Status under the EU's Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD) for inputs of energy Article 11. The full text of the descriptor is:

Introduction of energy, in adversely affect the mar

 Several anthropogenic based s environment - sound, light, <u>elected</u>

EU Habitats Directive -



	Sea bed

Protection of species

Article 12

- 1. Member States shall take the requisite measures to establish a system of strict protection for the animal species listed in Annex IV (a) in their natural range, prohibiting:
- (a) all forms of deliberate capture or killing of specimens of these species in the wild;
- (b) deliberate disturbance of these species, particularly during the period of breeding, rearing, hibernation and migration;
- (c) deliberate destruction or taking of eggs from the wild;
- (d) deterioration or destruction of breeding sites or resting places.

EMF at Belgian wind farm



<u>ation-</u> -8529-

European



Table 2.2 Overview of three wind parks with corresponding AC undersea export cables, used for this study.

Wind park	Electric power capacity at full production	Voltage	
LUD	129 MW	150 kV	
PAWP	120 MW	150 kV	
OWEZ	108 MW	3 x 34 kV	

The study area and location of the measured cables is shown in Figure 2.3.



BOEM - EMF effects from HVDC on migratory species

Electromagnetic Field (EMF) Impacts on

Electromagnetic Field (ENF) Impacts on Elasmobranch (shark, rays, and skates) Elasmobranch Lobster Movement Cables and American Direct Current Cables Nigration from Direct Current

1. Determination of EMF emitted



3. Response of species to encounter with EMF emitted







2. Evaluation of power sy w.r.t environmental conditi



An example of subsea power distribution network (Figure courtesy: ANSYS)

1. Determination of EMF emittedfrom HVDC power cables, USA









Frequency [Hz]

3. Response of species to encounter with EMF emitted - Summary

Response (effect) + distribution

Spe Scientific Reports | (2020) 10:4219 | https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-60793-x

www.nature.com/scientificreports

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

Prop turns

Spa

Heic

OPEN Anthropogenic electromagnetic fields (EMF) influence the behaviour of bottom-dwelling marine species

Zoë L. Hutchison^{1*}, Andrew B. Gill^{2,3*}, Peter Sigray⁴, Haibo He⁵ & John W. King¹

MF

VIF

Field study evidence



Kilometers

Figure 5. Linear regression of speed deficit and the simultaneous, root mean square, current in the sub-sea cable. The deficit is the decrease of swimming speed in the middle (cable) interval compared with the mean swimming speed of the same eel in the northern and southern intervals.

Measured and Observed Effect of E & M Fields (e.g.'s)

Effect	Type of response*	Таха	D.C. /A.C	Field /Lab
Electrosensitive species altered behaviour and distribution to cables .	В	Fish	DC, AC	Field
Magnetosensitive species altered behaviour exposed to cable	В	Fish, Invertebrates	DC	Field
Higher abundance at the sites when cable power was off	В	Fish	DC, AC	Field
Higher proportions of migrators crossed cable location and were more likely to be detected south of normal migration route	В	Fish	DC	Field
Decreased movement activity / alarm response	В	Fish Invertebrates	DC, AC	Lab
Decreases in melatonin levels ¹	Р	Fish	DC	Lab
Delay in egg developmental /larval respiration	D, P	Fish; Invertebrates	DC, AC	Lab
Reduced growth and development	D	Fish ²	DC	Lab
Enhanced yolk-sac absorption rate in larvae	D, P	Fish	AC	Lab
Notes: * Behavioural (B), Developmental (D), Physiologic ¹ Hormonal tests did not give any evidence of stress ² California halibut (different family) no noticeable effects	Some other studies no measurable effect			

Subsea cables - Biological Impact Framework



What does the context & available evidence tell us about EMF associated with Subsea cables

Subsea cables WILL emit EMF that some sensitive organisms WILL respond to. (= EFFECT)

seabed species, closer to the source, most likely to encounter higher intensities
HV DC cables emit larger EMF than AC but context of how animals will respond needs defined to determine any impact (or not)
single HV AC or DC cable no impact expected (medium confidence)
low power – small EMF (scales up with power)
lack of knowledge when considering future expansion plans to arrays

Responses demonstrated but extrapolation to impacts of EMF on sensitive receptors is speculative

EMIF (magnetic field) can be measured using commercial magnetometer – (need more data to validate models)
EMF (electric field) difficult to measure – (need method + data)

 Targeted collaborative activity and research essential, building knowledge based (i.e. adapt with new knowledge) THANKS to: All colleagues working on EMF University Rhode Island; FOI – Swedish Defence Agency; Cranfield University; Liverpool University; Witteveen+Bos, WaterProof, Bureau Waardenburg-Netherlands; Cross-Sound Cable Co. *Funders*: BOEM, USA; EC, Europe; COWRIE, UK;

Very happy to talk further

Thanks

Andrew B Gill PhD FRSB email: andrew.gill@cefas.co.uk <u>Info: www.pangalia.com</u>