# The Composites Group at Edinburgh



**Prof. Conchúr Ó Brádaigh** Chair of Materials Engineering.



**Dr. Dipa Roy** Senior Lecturer in Composite Materials and Processing.



**Dr. Dongmin Yang** Senior Lecturer in Composite Materials.



**Dr. Parvez Alam** Senior Lecturer in Materials Modelling.

The Edinburgh Composites Group (Est. 2015)



Dr. Edward McCarthy Lecturer in Composites Design & Testing.



**Dr. Colin Robert** Chancellor's Fellow.





THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH School of Engineering



# **Composites Group: Some Industry Partners**



These are just some of the industrial partners that the Composites Group works with





# Composites Expertise at The University of Edinburgh



Powder Resin Impregnation of Carbon/Glass Tapes



Wind/Tidal Blade manufacture using Advanced Powder Epoxy Composites



**Stress** Analysis/Design of **Blades** 



Modelling of Impact Damage







# Project 1 - Powder Epoxy Wind Blade Prototype

The use of powdered rather than liquid epoxies have several advantages for processing of thick section composites such as wind and tidal turbine blades

# <section-header>

Full-scale testing of 6.0m powder-epoxy wind turbine blade demonstrator at the University of Edinburgh. Tapered hollow box-section with inboard glass fibre and outboard carbon fibre reinforcement

Floreani et al., <u>SAMPE Europe Conference</u>, Amsterdam, Netherlands, Sept. 2020



#### **3D Digital Image Correlation Output**



Strain Gauge vs DIC Output

Contact: c.obradaigh@ed.ac.uk





# Project 2 – Fatigue Testing of Large Tidal Blades

# What is FASTBLADE ?



#### An innovative structural composites test facility

funded by the EPSRC for FULL scale hydraulic fatigue testing of:

- TIDAL BLADES
- Marine & Defence Structures
- Aircraft wing boxes
- Stiff and slender structures







# NNIVE A



# Project 2 – Fatigue Testing of Large Tidal Blades

# **FASTBLADE** Animation

#### **Estimated Commissioning Date: END 2021**



Contact: jeff.steynor@ed.ac.uk



THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH School of Engineering



# Choosing Materials and Material Systems for Ocean Energy



THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH School of Engineering



# Typical Comparison of Competitor Materials by Properties – Bubble/Ashby Charts



- The first step in choosing materials for a design is usually a direct property comparison across a number of key properties.
- But this belies the complexity of deploying materials in hybrid systems where not all, or sometimes any, of their best properties are properly exploited.
- The reality is that we need to think of material systems rather than individual materials





# But Materials are rarely deployed alone in any system....we nearly always use Hybrid Systems



Laminated Elastomeric Components



Composite Mid-Water Arch



Steel-concrete structures



Multilayer coatings



Concrete-rebar

#### structures

Distance Angle Depth Trawlspeed Symmetry Temperature Twist Tirawlspeed Temperature Trawlspeed Temperature Trawlspeed Tirawlspeed Tirawlspeed Turist Tirawlspeed Turist Tirawlspeed Turist Tirawlspeed Turist Tirawlspeed Turist Tu

#### Tensile Systems ('fish net')





# Methodology for Assessing Material Systems Materials Landscaping Study 2016



- The chart on the left shows the process followed to evaluate materials in three screening phases
- The first phase surveyed operating conditions and industrial views via a partner survey.
- The second phase consolidated these various technologies
- The third phase did a risk
  evaluation on the remaining 40
  technologies to identify 11 with
  highest potential for impact.





# **Evaluation Matrix for Downselection**

Attribute	Scoring Guide		Weighting
Manufacturing Cost	1=Expensive,	5=Cheap	x 2
Material Cost	1=Expensive,	5=Cheap	x 2
Capital Cost (NRCs)	1=Expensive,	5=Cheap	x 1
Maintenance & Repair	1=Difficult	5=Easy	x 1
Joining (To self)	1=Poor Quality / Difficult	5=Excellent Quality / Easy	x 2
Joining (To Other)	1=Poor Quality / Difficult	5=Excellent Quality / Easy	x 2
Durability / Survivability	1=Poor	5=Excellent	x 1
Logistics / Installation	1=Expensive,	5=Cheap	x 1





# Scatter Plot of Impact V. Risk







# Ranking of Materials Systems by Impact (2016)

Ranking	Materials and Process Technology
1	Hybrid structures incorporating rotationally moulded polymers, fibre reinforced composites and / or steel
2	Tensile Structures
3	Steels and Welding Improvements
4	Elastomers
5	Concrete Structures
6	Adhesive Bonding of Composites
7	Adhesive Bonding of Dry Steels
8	Articulation with Laminated Elastomeric Composites

- C Ó Brádaigh was asked to rank various material system options in 2016 to identify areas most in need of strengthening and development for maximum impact in wave energy devices specifically, (perhaps indirectly tidal).
- Hybrid systems combining the advantages of multiple materials ranked first.





# Conclusions

- It's not always meaningful to compare individual materials head on and make premature selections on this basis.
- We need to think of hybrid material systems, their connections and the **system net properties** when developing designs.
- The connection and integration strategy for hybrid materials **needs further development**, and we continue to believe the greatest marginal performance improvements will emerge in this area.
- Lastly, there is **increasing development in functional materials** such as autoadaptive electroactive elastomers that can enable smart, responsive structures, but which may not require elaborate control systems (e.g. passively morphing blades)



